

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

TAREYTON LEE, §
§
Petitioner, §
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:13-CV-00198
§
WILLIAM STEPHENS, §
§
Respondent. §

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM
AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS**

On September 9, 2013, United States Magistrate Judge B. Janice Ellington issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss” (D.E. 15). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 15), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Petition (D.E. 1) is
DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for want of prosecution.

ORDERED this 15th day of October, 2013.



NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE